important issue, The Government should make a statement on the communal situation in the country, and this House should also be given an opportunity of debating on this issue because this is very important and - causes great concern to all in our country.

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE (West Bengal): Madam, I also associate.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE): The Minister may kindly take note.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF YOUTH AFFAIRS AND SPORTS. AND WOVEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT: i have noted it, Madam. I will convey.

THE MENTAL HEALTH BILL 1986 THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (KUMAR! SA-ROJ KHAPARDE): I move:

"That the following amendments made by the the Lok Saibha in the . Mental Health Bill, 1986, be taken into consideration, namely: —

ENACTING FORMULA.

1. That at page 1, line 1, — for

"Thrity-seventh" substitute "Thirty-eighth". Clause 1

2. Tha, t at page 1, line 5, —for. 1986 substitute 1987.

*The question was put and the motion was adopted.*

KUMARI. SAROJ KHAPARDE: I move:

"That the amendments made by the Lok Sabha in the Bill be agreed

*The question was* pu* *and the motion was adopted.*

uiscussion on the working of the; Ministry of Human ResourceDevelopment

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI I KANAK MUKHERJEE): Now we take [1] up the discussion on the working of the Ministry of. Human Resource Development. Shri Aladi Aruna.

SHRI ALADI ARUNA *alias* V. Arunachalam (Tamil Nadu): At the outset I thank the Chair for giving me-the opportunity to initiate the discus-! sion on the working of the Ministry of 1: Human Resource Development.

This is the first time in our history that an outlay of Rs. 825 crores • has been provided to the Ministry of Human, Resource Development. Last year the. outlay was Rs. 352 crores. Therefore, it is quite natural that most of the members belonging to the ruling, party, appreciated the increased outlay. Even though th$_e$ Centre has ! provided the outlay of Rs: 825 crores, I I am to remind this House that ths I burden of expenditure to the Stales has not been reduced. In fact, most • of the States are spending 10 per cent to 25 per cent-of their total expenditure. As far as Kerala is concerned, it is spending more than 25 per cent of its total expenditure. As far as Karnataka is concerned, for this year it has allotted Rs- 556 crores out of its. total revenue which is nearly Rs. 260ft. I, As fair as Tamil Nadu, is concerned, ! it has made a provision of Rs. 551 crores for education. In addition co that Rs 300 crores have been allotted' for mid-day meals to the school-going children*. In. total, Tamil Nadu ha$_s$ allotted Rs. 85 crores for education which is more than the allotment of the Centre. No State spends, less than 10 per cent on education. If you. work out the percentage of the Centre it is very regrettable to mention that it was one per cent in the year 1985-86. It has increased to 1. 2 per cent in the year 1986-87. And even though the increase is Rs. 825 crores, the percentage is 1, 3. In regard, to the question whether the allotted amount is in kee-